Resistant hypertension with adrenal nodule: are we removing the right gland?
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Summary

Resistant hypertension is often difficult to treat and may be associated with underlying primary aldosteronism (PA). We describe the case of an elderly gentleman who presented with severe and resistant hypertension and was found to have a left adrenal incidentaloma during evaluation but had aldosterone excess secondary to unilateral adrenal hyperplasia (UAH) of the contralateral gland, which needed surgical intervention. A 65-year-old gentleman was evaluated for uncontrolled high blood pressure (BP) in spite of taking four antihypertensive medications. The high BP was confirmed on a 24-h ambulatory reading, and further biochemical evaluation showed an elevated serum aldosterone renin ratio (ARR) (1577 pmol/l per ng per ml per h). Radiological evaluation showed an adrenal nodule (15 mm) in the left adrenal gland but an adrenal vein sampling demonstrated a lateralization towards the opposite site favouring the right adrenal to be the source of excess aldosterone. A laparoscopic right adrenalectomy was performed and the histology of the gland confirmed nodular hyperplasia. Following surgery, the patient’s BP improved remarkably although he remained on antihypertensives and under regular endocrine follow-up. PA remains the most common form of secondary and difficult-to-treat hypertension. Investigations may reveal incidental adrenal lesions, which may not be the actual source of excess aldosterone, but UAH may be a contributor and amenable to surgical treatment. An adrenal vein sampling should be undertaken for correct lateralization of the source, otherwise a correctable diagnosis may be missed and the incorrect adrenal gland may be removed.

Learning points:

- Severe and resistant hypertension can often be associated with underlying PA.
- ARR is an excellent screening tool in patients with suspected PA.
- Lateralization with adrenal venous sampling is essential to isolate the source and differentiate between unilateral and bilateral causes of hyperaldosteronism.
- Adrenal incidentalomas and UAH may coexist and the latter may often be the sole cause of excess aldosterone secretion.
- Decisions about adrenalectomy should be made only after integrating and interpreting radiological and biochemical test findings properly.
Background

Patients with resistant hypertension may often have an identifiable underlying secondary cause. Primary aldosteronism (PA) is now considered to be one of the most common causes for secondary hypertension, and the relationship between aldosterone-induced sodium retention and hypertension is well established (1). Aldosterone-producing adenomas (APA) and unilateral adrenal hyperplasia (UAH) are the most established causes of PA, which, if identified, secure a specified target treatment that may potentially cure hypertension. Invasive tests like adrenal venous sampling (AVS) are usually needed to identify the correct source of excess aldosterone and to make a distinction between unilateral and bilateral disease.

Case presentation

A 65-year-old gentleman was referred to our endocrine clinic by the acute medical team for the evaluation of resistant hypertension. He initially attended the ambulatory unit with exertional symptoms that he had for a few months and was found to have elevated blood pressure (BP) (range of systolic BP was 190–200 mmHg and diastolic BP ranged between 100 and 110 mmHg) on multiple occasions. He was on titrating doses of irbesartan (300 mg once daily (OD)), doxazosin (8 mg twice daily (BD)), amlodipine (10 mg OD) and bisoprolol (10 mg OD) at that point. His medical background included history of iron deficiency anaemia, dyslipidemia and previous transient ischemic attacks. His other regular medications were furosemide, clopidogrel, simvastatin, fenofibrate and lansoprazole. His physical examination revealed moderate pallor, a raised BMI and elevated BP (206 mmHg systolic and 104 mmHg diastolic). He did not have any audible murmurs, renal bruit or skin changes. There was no clinical evidence of Cushing’s syndrome and he appeared euadrenal, euthyroid and eupituitary.

Investigation

His initial biochemical evaluation showed normal liver, kidney and thyroid functions. His serum sodium (143 mmol/l) and potassium (4.1 mmol/l) was normal as well. He was normoglycemic and his lipid profile was satisfactory. His 24-h urine-free cortisol (UFC), overnight dexamethasone suppression test and urine metanephrines were all within normal limits. His electrocardiogram (ECG) and echocardiogram were consistent with left ventricular hypertrophy. A 24-h ambulatory BP recording confirmed elevated BP (>90% readings above diurnal and nocturnal cut-off levels) despite treatment. An ultrasound with colour Doppler showed a normal appearance of kidneys with normal calibre of the renal arteries. He was commenced on a trial dose of spironolactone 25 mg OD initially, which improved his BP dramatically, and after 2–3 weeks of treatment with spironolactone and dose titration of other antihypertensives, his BP improved to an average of ~150/90 mmHg.

This prompted a few change with his antihypertensive combinations. As he responded well to spironolactone, it was suspected that he might have PA, which could explain his resistant hypertension. His β blocker and spironolactone was stopped and he was commenced on diltiazem. Over the next 4 weeks he was followed regularly in the ambulatory unit for the monitoring of BP and dose titration of his antihypertensive combinations. After a washout period of 4 weeks, an aldosterone renin ratio (ARR) was organized, which showed an elevated value of 1577 pmol/l per ng per ml per h (aldosterone, 410 pmol/l; plasma renin activity, 0.26 ng/ml per h), normal cut-off of ARR, 750 pmol/l per ng per ml per h (1). This was followed with an magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of his adrenals, which showed a 15 mm nodule in the left adrenal that had a benign appearance and the right gland was reported to be normal (Fig. 1).

As PA was evident due to an elevated ARR, he was referred to a tertiary centre for AVS, which confirmed elevated BP (>90% readings above diurnal and nocturnal cut-off levels) despite treatment. An ultrasound with colour Doppler showed a normal appearance of kidneys with normal calibre of the renal arteries. He was commenced on a trial dose of spironolactone 25 mg OD initially, which improved his BP dramatically, and after 2–3 weeks of treatment with spironolactone and dose titration of other antihypertensives, his BP improved to an average of ~150/90 mmHg.

This prompted a few change with his antihypertensive combinations. As he responded well to spironolactone, it was suspected that he might have PA, which could explain his resistant hypertension. His β blocker and spironolactone was stopped and he was commenced on diltiazem. Over the next 4 weeks he was followed regularly in the ambulatory unit for the monitoring of BP and dose titration of his antihypertensive combinations. After a washout period of 4 weeks, an aldosterone renin ratio (ARR) was organized, which showed an elevated value of 1577 pmol/l per ng per ml per h (aldosterone, 410 pmol/l; plasma renin activity, 0.26 ng/ml per h), normal cut-off of ARR, 750 pmol/l per ng per ml per h (1). This was followed with an magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of his adrenals, which showed a 15 mm nodule in the left adrenal that had a benign appearance and the right gland was reported to be normal (Fig. 1).

As PA was evident due to an elevated ARR, he was referred to a tertiary centre for AVS, which confirmed
a very high basal and 5 min aldosterone levels after synacthen stimulation from his right adrenal vein in keeping with a diagnosis of PA, but it was from the side opposite to the adrenal nodule that was visible on MRI (Tables 1 and 2).

**Table 1** Basal and post-synacthen aldosterone and cortisol levels from adrenal vein sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of venous sampling</th>
<th>Basal</th>
<th>5 min (post-synacthen)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cortisol (nmol/l)</td>
<td>Aldosterone (pmol/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right adrenal</td>
<td>4957</td>
<td>25 248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left adrenal</td>
<td>5230</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Results of aldosterone: cortisol ratio from adrenal vein sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of venous sampling</th>
<th>Basal</th>
<th>5 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right adrenal</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left adrenal</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Adrenal nodules are often inadvertently discovered with imaging studies while evaluating patients for severe and resistant hypertension. The detection of such lesions should prompt biochemical evaluation for exclusion of hypercortisolism, aldosteronism and the presence of a phaeochromocytoma. In patients with moderate to severe resistant hypertension (with or without hypokalemia) and in hypertension with incidentalomas, the prevalence of PA is high and requires appropriate screening and definitive tests to establish the diagnosis (1). An APA and bilateral idiopathic hyperplasia (BiH) accounts for 95% of all cases of PA, but rare subtypes like UAH (2%), glucocorticoid remedial aldosteronism (<1%) and pure aldosterone-producing adrenocortical carcinoma (<1%) have also been identified (2).

Although ARR remains an excellent and reliable mean for screening for PA, confirmatory tests like lack of suppression of aldosterone levels after salt loading have been recommended for exclusion of false positive cases (1). We had a high index of suspicion for positive case detection of PA in our patient due to his clinical profile, MRI findings, ARR of twice above the cut-off value and partial response to spironolactone for which no further additional confirmatory tests were undertaken.

It is crucial to make a distinction between unilateral and bilateral aldosterone hypersecretion in patients with PA owing to the fact that unilateral hypersecretion may be cured with adrenalectomy, whereas in bilateral hypersecretion a mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist is usually the treatment of choice (3). A CT/MRI of the adrenal gland may reveal nodules or masses, but the caveat in using radiology alone for detection of APA is that small adenomas may be missed or may be labelled incorrectly and many adrenal adenomas may be non-functioning as in our patient (4). CT alone may often demonstrate unilateral nodules in patients with bilateral disease, which can be misleading. Moreover, adrenal hyperplasia may be visible or may appear normal on adrenal CT scan and these results are inaccurate and inconclusive. Interpretations of tests based on imaging studies alone can be confounding and equivocal, leading to improper decision making.

AVS is highly effective in detecting lateralization of aldosterone secretion and it is 95% sensitive and 100%
specific in detecting unilateral aldosterone hypersecretion (5). Isolated reliance on CT/MRI to determine a treatment strategy may lead to inappropriate adrenalectomy in 14.6% patients, inappropriate exclusion of adrenalectomy in 19.1% and adrenalectomy on the inappropriate side in 3.9% cases (6). In our patient the AVS proved to be an effective tool in demonstrating a unilateral dominant disease that was on the opposite side of the adrenal nodule, but it does not always preclude the possibility of the contralateral gland being free from hyperplasia (1). In the absence of such lateralization the incidental nodule could have been mistaken for an APA, leading to the removal of the wrong gland.

Unilateral adrenalectomy is curative in patients with APA and UAH and there is strong evidence to suggest that lowering of BP and serum aldosterone levels improves cardiac and cerebrovascular outcomes (7). Although spironolactone improved the BP in our patient initially, it was still inadequately controlled, which posed an increased risk for long-term cardiovascular risk and morbidity. Following an informed discussion, our patient was willing to pursue a treatment option that would offer a definitive cure and optimal outcome with regards to his BP management. Following adrenalectomy, his BPs have reduced and the number of medications has lessened. Studies have reported a normalization or amelioration of BP in 80% of cases at a mean follow-up of 2 years (8) (9), but it will be difficult to predict whether patients will be entirely tablet free as, although important, resolution of hypertension by itself is insufficient to assess the cure of PA as a multitude of other factors may contribute to persistently elevated BP. Therefore, BP alone can be a surrogate marker for determining cure but the gold standard would be normalization of biochemical parameters like electrolytes and ARR (3).

Finally, the histology of the gland in our patient was consistent with adrenocortical hyperplasia, which is an important cause for PA. Although under-reported in the past, several studies have reported a high prevalence of UAH in operated cases (10). Several diseases like unilateral multiple adrenocortical micronodules, nodular adrenal hyperplasia, non-single unilateral adenomas and unilateral dominant nodule or diffuse hyperplasia comes under the ambit of UAH and forms an important group of unilateral and surgically curable diseases (3).

In conclusion, adrenal incidentalomas identified during endocrine evaluation of resistant hypertension may not be the source of hyperaldosteronism as lateralization studies with AVS may identify co-existing pathologies like UAH, which may not be readily identified radiologically. Careful considerations should be made while interpreting radiological and biochemical tests to avoid incorrect surgical decisions and to ensure that the right gland is removed.
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