Pasireotide: successful treatment of a sparsely granulated tumour in a resistant case of acromegaly
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Summary

The granulation pattern of somatotroph adenomas is well known to be associated with differing clinical and biochemical characteristics, and it has been shown that sparsely granulated tumours respond poorly to commonly used somatostatin receptor ligands (SRLs). We report a challenging case of acromegaly with a sparsely granulated tumour resistant to multiple modalities of treatment, ultimately achieving biochemical control with pasireotide. A 26-year-old lady presented with classical features of acromegaly, which was confirmed by an oral glucose tolerance test. Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) was 1710 µg/L (103–310 µg/L) and mean growth hormone (GH) was >600 U/L. MRI scan showed a 4 cm pituitary macroadenoma with suprasellar extension and right-sided cavernous sinus invasion. She underwent trans-sphenoidal pituitary surgery. Histology displayed moderate amounts of sparsely granular eosinophilic cytoplasm, staining only for GH. Postoperative investigations showed uncontrolled disease (IGF1: 1474 µg/L, mean GH: 228 U/L) and residual tumour in the cavernous sinus. She received external beam fractionated radiation. Over the years, she received octreotide LAR (up to 30 mg), lanreotide (up to 120 mg) two weekly, cabergoline, pegvisomant and stereotactic radiosurgery to no avail. Only pegvisomant resulted in an element of disease control; however, this had to be stopped due to abnormal liver function tests. Fifteen years after the diagnosis, she was started on pasireotide 40 mg monthly. Within a month, her IGF1 dropped and has remained within the normal range (103–310 µg/L). Pasireotide has been well tolerated, and there has been significant clinical improvement. Somatostatin receptor subtyping revealed a positivity score of two for both sst5 and sst2a subtypes.

Learning points:

- Age, size of the tumour, GH levels on presentation, histopathological type and the somatostatin receptor status of the tumour in acromegaly should be reviewed in patients who poorly respond to first-generation somatostatin receptor ligands.
- Tumours that respond poorly to first-generation somatostatin receptor ligands, especially sparsely granulated somatotroph adenomas, can respond to pasireotide and treatment should be considered early in the management of resistant tumours.
- Patients with membranous expression of sst5 are likely to be more responsive to pasireotide.
Background

Morbidity and mortality rates of uncontrolled acromegaly are much higher than those of the general population. However, adequate biochemical control reverses mortality rates. Currently, medical therapy is becoming an important part of the treatment of patients with acromegaly. Long-acting formulations of octreotide and lanreotide, first-generation somatostatin receptor ligands (SRLs), that mainly bind to receptor sst2, remain the standard medical therapy and play an important role when either surgery is ineffective or as a first-line therapy when surgery is contraindicated. Pasireotide is a somatostatin receptor ligand, acting on receptors sst1, sst2, sst3 and sst5 and has recently been approved for the treatment of acromegaly. The granulation pattern of somatotroph adenomas is well known to be associated with differing clinical and biochemical characteristics, and it has been shown that sparsely granulated tumours respond poorly to first-generation somatostatin receptor ligands. We report a challenging case of acromegaly due to a sparsely granulated pituitary adenoma, resistant to multiple modalities of treatment administered over several years and ultimately achieving biochemical control with pasireotide.

Case presentation

A 26-year-old lady presented with tiredness, progressive enlargement of hands and feet, secondary amenorrhoea, gradually worsening headache together with excessive sweating for three years. There was no family history of pituitary tumours, hypercalcaemia or renal stones. Examination revealed typical features of acromegaly. Her blood pressure and visual fields were normal.

Investigations

The oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed to confirm the diagnosis of acromegaly (nadir GH: 772 U/L). Her IGF-1 level was 1710 µg/L (103–310 µg/L). A growth hormone day curve was performed to assess the disease burden, and it highlighted the presence of severe disease (mean GH >600 U/L). Other anterior pituitary hormone testing showed secondary hypogonadism (LH <0.5 IU/L (2.4–13 IU/L), FSH <0.37 IU/L (3.5–13 IU/L), oestradiol <50 pmol/L (91.8–854 pmol/L), elevated prolactin of 796 µU/L (102–496 µU/L)) and hypothyroidism (TSH: 10.8 µIU/L). Other anterior pituitary hormone testing showed secondary hypogonadism.

MRI scan showed a 4×2×3 cm pituitary macro adenoma with suprasellar extension and right-sided cavernous sinus invasion.

Treatment

The patient underwent trans-sphenoidal surgery of the pituitary tumour in 1999. Histology of the excised specimen revealed moderate amounts of finely (sparsely) granular eosinophilic cytoplasm. Immunohistochemistry was positive for growth hormone but negative for other pituitary hormones. Ki 67 index was <1%.

Her postoperative IGF1 was 1474 µg/L and mean GH was 228 U/L, indicating uncontrolled disease. Postoperative MRI of the pituitary revealed residual tumour in the cavernous sinus and close to the optic chiasm. Therefore, external beam fractionated radiation was given five months after surgery.

Octreotide treatment was initiated postoperatively, as 20 mg every 4 weeks. It was then increased stepwise, up to 30 mg every two weeks. The lowest IGF1 achieved was 1065 µg/L indicating partial resistance. Octreotide was stopped in September 2004.

Due to the persistent elevation of biochemical markers over four years following surgery, she was started on pegvisomant, which resulted in reasonable disease control. Her IGF-1 levels came down from above 1000 to 340 µg/L. Within a few months of pegvisomant therapy, there was a positive clinical response; however, she developed abnormal liver function tests necessitating discontinuation of the treatment. She was re-challenged with pegvisomant, but in view of a recurrent rise in liver enzymes, treatment was stopped.

Lanreotide was initiated in January 2005 as 120 mg monthly and was soon increased to twice weekly. In April, cabergoline 0.5 mg twice a week was added to lanreotide, but it was stopped in 2006 as it did not have a positive impact on disease control. In 2010, the patient developed diarrhoea and the lanreotide frequency was reduced back to once a month. In 2010, patient had stereotactic radio surgery targeting residual tumour within the cavernous sinuses.

Lanreotide was stopped in 2013 as the patient underwent a clinical trial with antisense, a form of siRNA treatment. Antisense was not able to control the disease and following the trial, she went back to lanreotide treatment with an IGF-1 of 1258 µg/L. She was on lanreotide till October 2015.
Over fifteen years, no treatment was able to control the disease burden, and the patient remained highly symptomatic with headaches, fatigue and osteoarthritis. In 2015, the patient was started on pasireotide 40 mg monthly. Figure 1 shows her clinical therapy and hormone levels.

**Outcome and follow-up**

Within a month of starting pasireotide 40 mg monthly, her IGF-1 levels dropped to the normal range and have remained within the normal range for age (103–310 µg/L) over 15 months although her tumour size has not changed. Late effects of radiotherapy may also have contributed to the normalization of GH and IGF-1. Pasireotide remains well tolerated and has not been complicated by glucose intolerance. Her HBA1c was closely monitored and values ranged from 41 to 44 mmol/mol. It was 42 mmol/mol prior to starting pasireotide. The patient’s symptoms have significantly improved, and she is now back to work. Somatostatin receptor (sst) sub typing revealed positivity for sst5 and sst2a subtypes (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

Despite availability of multiple treatment modalities, acromegaly sometimes is a challenging condition to treat, and we report a patient whose disease was only controlled with pasireotide. The patient had a sparsely granulated tumour with suprasellar extension and invasion of the cavernous sinus, and these tumours are known to be more difficult to control. Sparsely granulated somatotroph adenomas are commoner among young females, are generally larger than densely granulated somatotroph adenomas, less responsive to somatostatin receptor ligands (1, 2) and more frequently reported to have suprasellar extension and cavernous sinus infiltration (3).

A number of factors in our patient are associated with increased resistance to treatment of acromegaly. Preoperative GH levels are an important predictor of remission in acromegaly (4). Extremely high disease burden is indicated by clinical findings, biochemistry and imaging and could have contributed largely to the poor response to initial treatment in our patient.

The response to somatostatin receptor ligands depends on the expression of the somatostatin receptor subtype (5). Pasireotide is a somatostatin receptor ligand recently...
approved for the treatment of acromegaly. Randomised studies have shown its superiority to octreotide and lanreotide in the treatment of uncontrolled acromegaly (6). In contrast to octreotide and lanreotide, which bind preferentially to somatostatin receptor sst2, pasireotide has a binding affinity for sst5 that is approximately 39- and 106-fold higher and a lower binding affinity for sst2 (approximately 0.4- and 0.5-times lower) (7). A small retrospective study of eleven patients resistant to first-generation SRLs were treated with pasireotide, and it was found that the expression of sst5 could predict the responsiveness to treatment (8). The study also showed that sparsely granulated somatotroph adenomas responded to pasireotide better than densely granulated tumours. Sparsely granulated tumours had a tendency for a lower sst2a expression compared to densely granulated somatotroph adenomas, but no difference was observed for sst5 expression. The study concluded that this somatostatin receptor profile might explain the better responsiveness of sparsely granulated tumours to pasireotide. Our patient had a sparsely granulated tumour, which stained for both sst2a as well as sst5 using the rabbit monoclonal antibodies (Abcam) on the automated Ventana system. The membranous expression of somatostatin receptor is a prerequisite for the responsiveness to different somatostatin receptor ligands (8). Patients with negative or only cytoplasmic sst5 expression (scores 0–1 according to the scoring system proposed by Volante et al.) (9) are sometimes not considered responsive to pasireotide as opposed to cases with membranous expression of sst5 (scores 2 or 3 in the same scoring system) according to Volante et al. Our patient shows positive expression for both sst2a and sst5 with a score of 2 (i.e. membranous staining in <50% of cells or incomplete membranous staining), explaining the good response to pasireotide.

Studies revealed that the tolerability profile of intra-muscular pasireotide is generally similar to that of first-generation agents, except for a higher incidence of hyperglycaemia-related adverse events with pasireotide, suggesting the importance of careful monitoring of glycaemic status (10). Pasireotide was well tolerated in our patient, and she has not developed hyperglycaemia for a follow-up period of 15 months.

Pasireotide should be considered early in tumours that respond poorly to first-generation somatostatin receptor ligands, especially sparsely granulated somatotroph adenomas with membranous expression of sst5.
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