Dosing of radioactive iodine in end-stage renal disease patient with thyroid cancer
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Summary

We describe detailed administration of thyroidal and extrathyroidal doses of radioiodine to a patient with end-stage renal disease on hemodialysis. A thorough description of area under curve measurements in a patient with compromised renal function has rarely been described in the literature. Few publications have described thyroid cancer management of patients on hemodialysis, and we believe our management will aid in patient treatment in the future.

Background

Radioiodine ablation is the most effective adjuvant treatment after thyroidectomy for certain well-differentiated thyroid cancers (1). Iodine, as the iodide ion (I⁻) is almost entirely absorbed by the thyroid gland, while remaining circulating I⁻ is primarily cleared by the kidney. Therefore, in a patient with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) on dialysis, the administered activity of radioiodine (I¹³¹) and the timing of dialysis are crucial. There are neither current guidelines nor recommendations for management of thyroid cancer in patients with ESRD (2). We present a case of the use of I¹³¹ in the management of thyroid cancer in a patient on hemodialysis.

Case presentation

A 49-year-old woman with a history of hypertension, ESRD on hemodialysis (HD), goiter and post remote left thyroidectomy presented with compressive symptoms of shortness of breath and difficulty swallowing. Our patient complained of an enlarging right thyroid gland, which had been growing slowly over the past 8 years. Ultrasound revealed a large nodule measuring almost 8 cm in sagittal plane. Ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration was performed, and cytology revealed normal follicular cells.
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Learning points:

• Scheduling of hemodialysis is important when administering radioactive iodine.
• Treatment of thyroid cancer with radioiodine in patients with end-stage renal disease requires multidisciplinary approach coordinating dialysis, nuclear medicine and endocrinologists care.
• Balancing ideal dosage of I¹³¹ and the timing of dialysis to insure maximal thyroidal uptake and minimal extra-thyroidal I¹³¹ concentration is necessary.
Association (ATA) low-to-intermediate risk category (2). The patient’s case was reviewed regarding necessity and dose of radioiodine therapy interdepartmentally with consideration of her need for renal transplant and 50 mCi of I$^{131}$ was subsequently administered. Radioiodine was given after levothyroxine withdrawal for 6 weeks. The decision to raise TSH via withdrawal as opposed to thyrogen (recombinant TSH) was discussed interdepartmentally given that recombinant TSH is eliminated significantly slower in dialysis-dependent ESRD patients, resulting in prolonged elevation of TSH levels. A diagnostic pre-scan with I$^{123}$ was performed and showed residual thyroid tissue, an iodine uptake of 5% and no evidence of distant metastasis. Our treatment goal was to ablate remaining remnant thyroid tissue and to facilitate follow-up in the future. One week following the diagnostic pre-scan, the patient was administered 50 mCi (1.85e+3 mBq) (Fig. 1). The patient subsequently received inpatient HD sessions at 15, 27 and 43 h. The time points were chosen to coincide with the availability of the hemodialysis center and patient transportation. Our patient was discharged once below release criterion of 5 mrem/h (0.05 mSv/h) at 1 m. The patient returned 7 days post ablation and received a post-ablation whole body scan (Fig. 2).

**Investigation**

The effect of any radioactive substance on biological tissues depends on three important properties: the administered activity of the radioisotope, the radiologic half-life of the isotope and the biological half-life in the particular organ for the specific element. Radiologic half-life is the time required for one half of the number of atoms of the particular isotope to undergo physical decay. Biological half-life is the time taken to eliminate one half of the amount of a compound or chemical on a biological basis. The radiological half-life of I-131 is 8.02 days (3, 4). The thyroidal biologic half-life of iodide is approximately 80 days, whereas the extrathyroidal biologic half-life of I$^{131}$ is 0.33 days (4, 5). The effective half-life is the result of the combined actions of physical...
decay and biological elimination (Fig. 3). It is related to the radiologic half-life and the biologic half-life, and it is always the shorter of the shorter of the two half-lives. The formula used is 

\[ t_{1/2e} = t_{1/2p} \times \frac{t_{1/2b}}{t_{1/2p} + t_{1/2b}} \]

where \( t_{1/2e} \) is the effective half-life, \( t_{1/2p} \) is the physical half-life and \( t_{1/2b} \) is the biological half-life.

**Treatment**

The management challenges of treating the patient were to determine the dosage of \( ^{131}I \) and the schedule of the HD. We used the concept of ‘Necessary Balancing Act’ to formulate the management plan (Fig. 4). The balancing act aims at deciding the ideal dosage of \( ^{131}I \) and the timing of dialysis to insure maximal thyroidal uptake and minimal extrathyroidal \( ^{131}I \) concentration, thereby maximizing the therapeutic effect and minimizing short-term and long-term radioactive side effects (1).

The area under the curve (AUC) approach was chosen as it aims for a safe extrathyroidal dose. AUC is the area under the curve in a plot of concentration of drug in blood plasma against time. Typically, the area is computed starting at the time the drug is administered and ending when the concentration in plasma is negligible (5) (Fig. 3). Utilizing our approach, the AUC for a patient with compromised renal function is compared and adjusted to that of a patient with normal renal function.

The aim of our dialysis schedule was to achieve an AUC close to that of a patient with normal renal function. After reviewing previous studies, we devised a schedule of HD at 15, 27 and 43 h using a dose of \( ^{131}I \) 50 mCi. The schedule deviated from 12, 24 and 48 h time points to accommodate dialysis coordination (Fig. 5). Plotting of the AUC after the treatment revealed an AUC similar to normal renal function (Fig. 6). Since the iodide ion is extremely small compared to the dialysis filtering size, there is no method to either increase or decrease the rate of extra-thyroidal iodine removal by changing the parameters of the dialysis unit. The elimination depends on modality of dialysis and duration of dialysis therapy. Hemodialysis is superior to peritoneal dialysis, and no studies have shown that high efficiency dialysis is superior to low efficiency dialysis (6).

An ion chamber dose rate instrument was used to estimate the amount \( ^{131}I \) in the body at any time point. Immediately following the administration of the \( ^{131}I \), a dose rate measurement was obtained 1 m away and directly across from the patient’s umbilicus. This dose rate measurement exactly correlates with the administered activity of 50 mCi. Subsequent measurements were made at the same position and distance from the patient. The dose rates obtained are directly proportional to the activity remaining in the body at the time the measurement is made.

The thyroidal and the extra-thyroidal doses were estimated to be 360 Gy (target thyroidal dose of 150–500 Gy) and 0.2 Gy, respectively (1). A total-body scan 7 days after treatment confirmed \( ^{131}I \) uptake at thyroid bed, with no evidence of distant metastases. Biochemical data consisting of thyroglobulin and antithyroglobulin antibody were
undetectable at follow-up visits. Ultrasound and an rTSH stimulated I-131 whole body scan performed 5 years later did not reveal residual thyroid tissue in the thyroid bed or any abnormal tissue or uptake throughout the body.

**Discussion**

There are conflicting recommendations in the literature regarding changing dose of radioiodine and the timing of dialysis in patients with ESRD and thyroid cancer requiring therapeutic doses of 131I. When compared to a patient with normal renal function, authors Holst, Howard and Daumerie recommend decreasing the dose (7, 8, 9). In contrast, Jimenez and coworkers and Murcutt recommended using equivalent dosing; while Magne and Morrish increased dosing of I131 in ESRD patients as compared to their normal renal function counterparts (10, 11, 12, 13). In recommending a dose for patients, the timing of hemodialysis is of utmost importance (Table 1). Most of the radioiodine is delivered to the thyroid bed, but in anuric patients, there is an increased risk of exposure to bone marrow, which theoretically would lead to administration of a decreased dose of I131. The greatest reduction in radioiodine was after the first session of hemodialysis as was described in previous case reports, but subsequent hemodialysis reduced patients radioactivity to appropriate levels for discharge.

The ATA guidelines for use of Radioiodine Ablation in Differentiated Thyroid Cancers usually recommends radioiodine remnant ablation all patients with primary size of tumor >4 cm due to an increased risk of recurrence. The guidelines also recommend the usage of minimum activity as appropriate if any residual microscopic disease is suspected or documented (2). In addition, although initial fine-needle aspiration of the patient’s nodule did not reveal carcinoma, given the large size of her nodule, we believe there may have been sampling error.

I131 is mostly excreted renally and requires consideration of dose delivered to thyroid remnant while minimizing delivery to bone marrow. The dose delivered ultimately also depends on the timing of hemodialysis and the availability of health care providers to coordinate administration of I131 and hemodialysis sessions. Our facility was able to administer I131 after delivery from the pharmacy by the afternoon, explaining why our time points deviate somewhat from 12-, 24- and 48-h time points for hemodialysis. This patient was discussed at interdisciplinary rounds and RAI ablation was agreed upon to decrease risk of recurrence and facilitate follow-up. The majority of RAI therapies are performed in the outpatient setting, but patients on hemodialysis patients are unique due to the increased risk of exposure to dialysis staff, decreased clearance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Dose used (mCi)</th>
<th>Dialysis timing (days after 131I)</th>
<th>Recommendations on dose (in comparison to dose used in patients with normal renal function)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holst et al. (7)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2, 3, 4</td>
<td>Use 21–28% of dose and perform dialysis day 2, 3 and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bohan et al. (12)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>2, then thrice weekly</td>
<td>Use 13–16% of the dose and perform dialysis day 2 and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard et al. (6)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Decrease the dose for ESRD patients; no comment on the extent of decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daumerie et al. (8)</td>
<td>25 in 2 sessions 6 months apart</td>
<td>1, 2, 5, 7</td>
<td>Reduce the dose by a factor of 4 and perform dialysis at 48h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimenez et al. (9)</td>
<td>75, 87 and 120 in 3 patients</td>
<td>Daily for 5 days</td>
<td>Use 25% of the dose and start dialysis at 24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrish et al. (11)</td>
<td>50–250 in 4 sessions over 4.8 years</td>
<td>No comment on timing of dialysis for first 2 treatments; 2 days for the 3rd treatment</td>
<td>Use same dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magne et al. (10)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1, 3, 6</td>
<td>Increase the dose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase dose up to 25%
of I131 from the patient and potential contamination of the dialysis machine. In our patient case, proper radiation precautions were facilitated by admitting patient to hospital and performing hemodialysis within a designated patient room followed by appropriate handling of the dialysis machine and tubing. In considering therapies with higher doses of RAI therapy that would be required in setting of metastatic disease, one would again have to maximize administration of therapy to remnant tissue; however, our data do not apply to higher dose RAI therapy. In the future, an outpatient dialysis center with appropriate training and ability to comply with precautions may be feasible for patients requiring I131 therapy.

Patient outcome

The patient has followed up with endocrinology for 4 years since her radioiodine treatment with no evidence of recurrence of thyroid cancer on both imaging and biochemical examinations. The patient has received a renal transplant one year after her thyroid cancer treatment and remains in excellent health.

Definitions

Measurement of radioactivity (administered activity)

Measures the number of events of transformation/decay/disintegration per unit time.

1 curie (Ci) = 3.7 × 10^10 decays per second (1 bequerel (Bq) = 1 days/s).

Measurement of dose

Gamma constant for I-131: 0.22 mR/h per mCi at 1.0 m (7.647E-5 mSv/h per MBq at 1.0 m).

Different materials absorb different amounts of energy.

Radiation absorbed dose (rad) is a unit of absorbed radiation dose. The SI equivalent unit is the Gray (Gy).

100 rad = 1 Gray.

Biological effect of radiation depends on the type of ionizing radiation.

Roentgen equivalent in man, or mammal (rem) is a unit of radiation dose equivalent.

Rem = rad * weighting factor \( W_F \).

\( W_F \) is between 1 and 20; 1 for X-rays/gamma rays.

The SI equivalent for the REM is the Sievert (Sv).

100 Rem = 1 Sv.

The equivalent dose is the dose to the whole body calculated by summing the dose to specific tissues times their tissue weighting factors. The tissue weighting factor for thyroid is 0.05 and for bone marrow is 0.12. These are the two most significant tissues in this case.
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