Should all patients with hyperparathyroidism be screened for a CDC73 mutation?
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Summary

Primary hyperparathyroidism (PH) is a common endocrine abnormality and may occur as part of a genetic syndrome. Inactivating mutations of the tumour suppressor gene CDC73 have been identified as accounting for a large percentage of hyperparathyroidism-jaw tumour syndrome (HPT-JT) cases and to a lesser degree account for familial isolated hyperparathyroidism (FIHP) cases. Reports of CDC73 whole gene deletions are exceedingly rare. We report the case of a 39 year-old woman with PH secondary to a parathyroid adenoma associated with a large chromosomal deletion (2.5 Mb) encompassing the entire CDC73 gene detected years after parathyroidectomy. This case highlights the necessity to screen young patients with hyperparathyroidism for an underlying genetic aetiology. It also demonstrates that molecular testing for this disorder should contain techniques that can detect large deletions.

Background

Primary hyperparathyroidism (PH) is a common endocrine pathology with the highest incidence in postmenopausal women, and an overall prevalence of 1–4 per 1000 (1). Despite approximately 90% of cases arising sporadically, PH as part of a genetic syndrome including multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 or type 2A, hyperparathyroidism-jaw tumour syndrome (HPT-JT), familial hypocalciuric hypercalcaemia (FHH) and familial isolated hyperparathyroidism (FIHP) has been described in the literature (2).

HPT-JT syndrome is an autosomal dominant disorder that predisposes to parathyroid tumours, ossifying lesions of the jaw, and renal and uterine lesions (3). Mutations in the CDC73 gene have been associated with the pathogenesis of HPT-JT syndrome (3). However, mutations in CDC73 have also been identified in parathyroid carcinomas and in families with hyperparathyroidism caused by parathyroid tumours and this clinical variant is classified as FIHP (3).

The CDC73 gene is located at chromosome 1q31.2 and was originally identified in 2002. It has 17 exons encoding the 531 amino acid protein parafibromin (4). Mutations in CDC73 described in the literature include missense, nonsense, and splice site mutations, and small deletions and insertions (3).
We report the case of a woman with a history of PH secondary to a cystic parathyroid adenoma, associated with a rare genetic mutation. The patient was analysed by SNP chromosome microarray and a deletion of 2.5 Mb at chromosome 1q31.1–1q31.2, which includes the entire \textit{CDC73} gene was identified. This deletion was first found in her son, who was being investigated for developmental issues.

\textbf{Case presentation}

In 2014, a then 36 year-old female was incidentally found to have an elevated corrected calcium level of 2.72 mmol/L (reference range: 2.10–2.55 mmol/L) with an ionised calcium of 1.45 mmol/L (reference range 1.15–1.30 mmol/L). Parathyroid hormone (PTH) was elevated at 12.6 pmol/L (reference range 1.6–6.9 pmol/L), alkaline phosphatase was normal at 66 U/L (reference range 20–105 U/L), 24h urinary calcium collection showed a calcium level of 9.8 mmol/L (reference range 2.5–7.5 mmol/day), creatinine was 12.6 mmol/day (5.3–16.0 mmol/day), the calcium/creatinine ratio was 0.78 (0.06–0.45). 25-Hydroxycholecalciferol was 67 nmol/L (50–150 nmol/L). A parathyroid scan including pertechnate and Tc99m Sestamibi with early and delayed SPECT/CT of neck and mediastinum showed a solitary parathyroid adenoma in the lower pole of the left lobe of the thyroid (Fig. 1A). The patient underwent a minimally invasive parathyroidectomy, which revealed a very large superior parathyroid adenoma that was prolapsed into an inferior position. Histology confirmed a 3 cm × 1.5 cm × 1.2 cm gland-like structure weighing 2.5 g and appearing partially cystic (Fig. 1B). Microscopically a cellular parathyroid typical of an adenoma with no evidence of malignancy was found (Fig. 1C). Calcium and PTH levels normalised postoperatively.

Her past history includes anxiety and depression. She did not take any regular medications, and there is no reported family history of FIHP, HPT-JT syndrome, parathyroid adenomas or carcinomas. A computertomogram (CT) showed no ossifying fibromas of the jaw, and previous imaging showed no evidence of uterine or renal masses.

Three years post-operative, her son underwent genetic studies for developmental delay. A SNP chromosome microarray demonstrated a large deletion on chromosome 1 which led to further investigations in both parents. Our patient was subsequently found to have the same deletion as her son, encompassing the \textit{CDC73} gene.

\textbf{Investigations}

DNA was extracted and purified from a peripheral blood sample. SNP microarray was performed using the Illumina Whole-Genome InfiniumCytoSNP 850K Array v1.1. All
procedures for fragmentation, labelling and hybridisation were performed at the Australian Genome Research Facility (Melbourne, Australia) and were done according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Raw data was analysed with BlueFuse Multi version 4.3 with an effective resolution of 200kb. The clinical significance of copy number variants (CNVs) greater than 200 kilobases was determined by comparison with public databases of copy number variants (i.e., Database of Genomic variants and Phenotype in Humans Using Ensembl Resources (DECIPHER) and Database of Genomic Variants (DGV)). The UCSC genome browser February 2009 NCBI GRCh37/hg19 assembly was used for comparison of genomic coordinates detected for each CNV called at the laboratory reporting threshold. The SNP microarray analysis in the patient (and son) identified an approximately 2.5 Mb deletion at chromosome band 1q31.1–q31.2. The deleted region involved genomic coordinates chr1: 190,750,491–193,265,225, and included 16 genes, of which only one is an OMIM listed disease-causing gene (CDC73).

**Treatment**

Treatment of our patient’s hyperparathyroidism with a parathyroidectomy was performed years prior to her diagnosis of a novel, whole gene **CDC73** deletion.

**Outcome and follow-up**

The patient is now undergoing regular surveillance for associated **CDC73** complications and has regular follow-up in the endocrinology clinic. This includes yearly regular PTH and calcium levels, yearly dental check-ups and periodic pelvis and renal tract ultrasounds.

**Discussion**

SNP microarray revealed a heterozygous, large deletion of the whole of the **CDC73** gene, which is the cause of PH in our patient. Literature review of databases including PubMed, Medline and Google Scholar regarding whole gene or intragenic **CDC73** deletions was performed. In 2011, Cascón and coworkers reported the first case of a **CDC73** germline deletion in an 18-year-old woman presenting with hyperparathyroidism from a HPT-JT syndrome family demonstrating a whole gene deletion that involved 547,040 bp with the location chr1: 192,839,178–193,386,218 on chromosome 1q31 (5). In 2012, a further report was published by Domingues and coworkers regarding a young man with primary hyperparathyroidism reported to have a **CDC73** whole gene deletion, as part of a larger chromosome deletion spanning 2.4–9.3 Mb in size (6). In 2013, a larger French study was published by Bricaire and coworkers reporting molecular abnormalities in patients with PH. 20 index cases were identified and out of these 3 patients had a **CDC73** gene deletion with only one of them expanding beyond the gene. All three were women and all presented with parathyroid carcinoma and had at least one feature of HPT-JT (7). Mehta and coworkers described seven members from a single HPT-JT family with a whole gene deletion of **CDC73**; however, the extent of the deletion was not reported (8). In 2017, Rubinstein and coworkers published a case report of a woman with HPT-JT syndrome who was found to have a 4.1 Mb deletion on chromosome 1q31.2–q31.3 (chr1: 192,127,840–196,227,528), including the **CDC73** gene (9). The large gene deletion in our patient is similar to the one reported by Rubinstein and coworkers that had already highlighted the possibility that deleted genes (other than the **CDC73**) may be associated with developmental delay syndromes. The deletions in both cases partially overlap with known 1q deletions, encompassing the 1q25–32 region including the **CDC73** gene. Interestingly, the most common clinical manifestation of these 1q deletions is developmental delay (9). This is especially noteworthy as developmental delay in our patient’s son had led to genetic investigations in the first place. Recently Mamedova and coworkers enrolled 65 patients with PH and screened for possible underlying genetic mutations. One female patient with parathyroid carcinoma had a whole gene deletion of **CDC73**, and one male patient had a deletion of exons 1–10 of the **CDC73** gene with an atypical parathyroid adenoma (10). Interestingly, and in contrast to the aforementioned female patient reports, our patient did not have a parathyroid carcinoma and does not have a known family history of HPT-JT syndrome or other clinical features of HPT-JT at this point in time. Furthermore, the incidental diagnosis of a **CDC73** gene deletion, which was detected during investigations for developmental delay in her son is novel. This may suggest that young patients presenting with hypercalcaemia even without features of HPT-JT syndrome, or a FIHP phenotype, should be considered for genetic screening including **CDC73** mutations and deletions. The diagnosis of an underlying **CDC73** deletion was delayed in this case and was an incidental finding. However, early detection of possible underlying genetic aetiology has a significant impact on surveillance programmes and also genetic counselling for the patient and their families, and it is important that clinicians have a low threshold for genetic screening in young patients presenting with hyperparathyroidism.
Clinicians should use established guidelines for the diagnosis, genetic screening and treatment of hyperparathyroidism. Baseline serum PTH, 25-hydroxycholecalciferol, calcium, creatinine and a 24-h urinary calcium should be assessed. Furthermore, bone mineral density assessment and abdominal imaging for nephrocalcinosis should be performed. Once the diagnosis of PH is established, localisation studies should be performed and will depend on local expertise but can include cervical ultrasonography, $^{99m}$TcTechnetium Sestamibi scintigraphy or 4-dimensional CT. A thorough family history needs to be taken and patients should be assessed for a possible underlying genetic syndrome. Parathyroidectomy should be considered for all symptomatic patients, serum calcium levels greater than 1 mg/dL (0.25 mmol/L) above the upper limit of the reference interval for total calcium, when there is evidence of renal involvement including nephrocalcinosis, hypercalciuria (24 h urinary calcium level >400 mg/dL) or glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min. Patients with osteoporosis or fragility fractures and all patients aged <50 years regardless of objective or subjective features are also candidates for parathyroidectomy (11, 12).
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