Unusual AIP mutation and phenocopy in the family of a young patient with acromegalic gigantism
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Summary

Early-onset acromegaly causing gigantism is often associated with aryl-hydrocarbon-interacting receptor protein (AIP) mutation, especially if there is a positive family history. A15y male presented with tiredness and visual problems. He was 201 cm tall with a span of 217 cm. He had typical facial features of acromegaly, elevated IGF-1, secondary hypogonadism and a large macroadenoma. His paternal aunt had a history of acromegaly presenting at the age of 35 years. Following transsphenoidal surgery, his IGF-1 normalized and clinical symptoms improved. He was found to have a novel AIP mutation destroying the stop codon c.991T>C; p.*331R. Unexpectedly, his father and paternal aunt were negative for this mutation while his mother and older sister were unaffected carriers, suggesting that his aunt represents a phenocopy.

Background

Early-onset acromegaly causing gigantism is associated with mutations in the aryl-hydrocarbon-interacting receptor protein (AIP) gene in 30–40% of the cases (1, 2), but chances are even higher if there is a positive family history of acromegaly. Here, we present a case with typical clinical features with a novel AIP mutation but unexpected genetic testing results in the family.

Case presentation

A 15-year-old male was referred to the endocrinology clinic for excessive fatigue and sleepiness. He was born through normal vaginal delivery and his birth weight was 3.5 kg. He grew normally until he was 11, after which he experienced an accelerated height velocity and increase in weight. He developed voice change around the age of 12 years and began growing pubic hair when he was 13 years. He developed left hip pain when he was 14 and was found to have mild scoliosis and his right leg was 2.5 cm shorter than the left. He noticed tingling of both hands and gradual loss of vision over 6 months, which rapidly deteriorated about 2 weeks prior to his presentation. His mother mentioned that he snored heavily during sleep and slept on a semi-reclined couch because he was unable to lay flat.

Learning points:

- Typical presentation for a patient with AIP mutation with excess growth and eunuchoid proportions.
- Unusual, previously not described AIP variant with loss of the stop codon.
- Phenocopy may occur in families with a disease-causing germline mutation.
His father was First Nation’s descent and mother was Caucasian. Mid-parental height was 179.2 cm (50th–75th percentile). His parents and older sister had normal height and no medical concerns. His paternal aunt had undergone surgery for a growth hormone-producing adenoma at the age of 35 years.

On examination, his height was 201.2 cm and weight was 126.2 kg (BMI = 31.3 kg/m²) (Fig. 1A). His shoe size was American 16 EEE (extra-wide). His sitting height was 100 cm, arm span was 217 cm and bone age was between 14.5 and 15 years. He had evidence of scoliosis. Goldmann visual fields showed bilateral hemianopia (Fig. 1B). His skin was pale and greasy, facial features were coarse with significant prognathism and widely spaced teeth. Tinel’s sign was negative.

Investigation

Goldmann visual field tests revealed bilateral hemianopia (Fig. 1B). His baselines endocrine investigations showed a 09:00h cortisol of 98 nmol/L ((normal range (NR)=145–612), TSH: 2.37 IU/L (NR=0.35–5.4), fT4: 8.8 pmol/L (NR=11–19), prolactin: 15.4 µg/L (NR=2.1–17.7), random GH: 13.4 µg/L (NR<3.0), IGF-1: 1600 µg/L (NR=232–1077 for his age and sex), testosterone <0.3 nmol/L (NR=8.0–32), FSH: 0.5 IU/L (NR=1.5–9.3), LH: 0.4 IU/L (NR=1.4–18.1), total calcium: 2.31 mmol/L (NR=2.23–2.58) and nadir GH after 75 g oral glucose tolerance test of 7.9 µg/L. His MRI scan showed a 4.0 × 3.3 × 2.8 cm pituitary macroadenoma with bilateral cavernous sinus and suprasellar extension, which was hyperintense on T2 weighted images (Fig. 1C). The X-ray of the hips did not show any radiological evidence of slipped femoral epiphyses or avascular necrosis.

Treatment

A diagnosis of gigantism with eunuchoid proportions and associated hypopituitarism was made on the basis of typical clinical features, elevated serum IGF-1 and non-suppressed GH after oral glucose. Pituitary replacement therapy was initiated with oral hydrocortisone, thyroxine and long-acting injectable testosterone. He underwent transsphenoidal excision of the pituitary tumor and the pathology confirmed a sparsely granulated eosinophilic somatotroph adenoma (Fig. 2) with a Ki-67 index of 5% and positive immunostaining for GH as well as scattered staining for prolactin and TSH. SSFR2 and SSFR5 staining did not show characteristic membranous staining. AIP staining showed faint positivity. Surgery led to normalization of GH and IGF-1 with post-OGTT GH of 0.2 µg/L and IGF-1 of 302 µg/L. Post-surgery MRI is shown in Fig. 1D.

Outcome and follow-up

His visual fields normalized with complete restoration of vision after surgery (Fig. 1C) and the tingling of his hands
also improved. He remains in remission 5 years after surgery with serum IGF-1 of 225 µg/L (NR = 147–283) and fasting GH of 0.26 µg/L as well as pituitary replacement therapy. Based on the family history, invasive macroadenoma, male gender and young age at presentation, he was offered genetic testing. The test identified an aryl-hydrocarbon-interacting receptor protein (AIP) variant c.991T>C; p.*331R (Fig. 3), which has not been previously described. Sequencing of the AIP gene in the tumor DNA suggest the presence of loss of heterozygosity (Fig. 3C and D), although some normal tissue was present in the sample. Subsequent family screening showed the same variant in her mother and sister (Fig. 3A); physical examination and radiological or biochemical assessments showed no abnormalities. Siblings of the patient’s mother were invited for genetic testing. The proband’s father was negative for the mutation. We also tested a paraffin block available from his paternal aunt and no mutation was detected, suggesting that her case was a phenocopy.

**Discussion**

This patient had a typical clinical course of an AIP mutation-related pituitary adenoma with early-onset...
disease causing gigantism not just due to the high levels of GH but also due to the hypogonadism-induced growth causing eunuchoid proportions: his span was 16 cm larger than height, although the scoliosis and the shorter leg on one side might have confounded these measurements.

The variant identified in this patient is unusual as it disrupts the stop codon and replaces it with an arginine. There is no stop codon in the 3’ UTR sequence of the cDNA (Fig. 3). This change is predicted to cause a lengthened protein, which might be misfolded and therefore rapidly degraded (3). Stop loss mutations are generally considered damaging (4). Although the tumor sample contained some normal tissue, the presence of loss of heterozygosity supports the pathogenicity of this variant. AIP staining is known to be unreliable for distinguishing AIP mutation-positive tumors from negative ones (5, 6).

It was quite unexpected that the patient’s father and the sample from paternal aunt did not carry the mutation despite our initial anticipation that the paternal aunt’s disease was also due to this AIP mutation. Phenocopies, microprolactinoma or acromegaly has previously been described in families with AIP mutations (7, 8, 9).
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