Insulinoma presenting with post-prandial hypoglycaemia following fundoplication
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Summary

Insulinomas are rare neuroendocrine tumours that classically present with fasting hypoglycaemia. This case report discusses an uncommon and challenging case of insulinoma soon after upper gastrointestinal surgery. A 63-year-old man presented with 6 months of post-prandial hypoglycaemia beginning after a laparoscopic revision of Toupet fundoplication. Hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia was confirmed during a spontaneous episode and in a mixed-meal test. Localisation studies including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) and gallium dotatate positron emission tomography (68Ga Dotatate PET) were consistent with a small insulinoma in the mid-body of the pancreas. The lesion was excised and histopathology was confirmed a localised well-differentiated neuroendocrine pancreatic neoplasm. There have been no significant episodes of hypoglycaemia since. This case highlights several key points. Insulinoma should be sought in proven post-prandial hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia – even in the absence of fasting hypoglycaemia. The use of nuclear imaging targeting somatostatin and GLP1 receptors has improved accuracy of localisation. Despite these advances, accurate surgical resection can remain challenging.

Learning points:

• Hypoglycaemia is defined by Whipple's triad and can be provoked by fasting or mixed-meal tests.
• Although uncommon, insulinomas can present with post-prandial hypoglycaemia.
• In hypoglycaemia following gastrointestinal surgery (i.e. bariatric surgery or less commonly Nissen fundoplication) dumping syndrome or non-insulinoma pancreatogenous hypoglycaemia syndrome (NIPHS) should be considered.
• Improved imaging techniques including MRI, endoscopic ultrasound and functional nuclear medicine scans aid localisation of insulinomas.
• Despite advances in imaging and surgical techniques, accurate resection of insulinomas remains challenging.

Background

Insulinomas are rare neuroendocrine tumours with an incidence of 0.4 per 100,000 patient years and a median age of presentation of 50 years (1, 2). Generally, these tumours are benign with less than 10% exhibiting malignant features (2). Insulinomas classically present with fasting hypoglycaemia; however, the clinical presentation can be variable and delayed diagnosis is common. Despite considerable advances in localisation imaging, accurate surgical resection can be challenging.

Case presentation

A 63-year-old man presented to the endocrinology unit for further investigation of symptomatic hypoglycaemia of approximately 6-month duration. These episodes first began 3 weeks after he underwent laparoscopic revision of a Toupet fundoplication for recurrent gastro-oesophageal reflux. He reported adrenergic symptoms of shaking and diaphoresis as well as neuroglycopenic symptoms of confusion and poor concentration. Symptom onset was typically 1–2h after meals. Generally, these episodes
were mild to moderate in severity and managed by self-administration of simple and complex carbohydrates. The patient was trying to prevent episodes by adopting a low-carbohydrate diet and eating small quantities frequently.

The patient had the comorbidities of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and hiatus hernia for which he underwent laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication 6 years prior to this presentation. His other comorbidities were that of depression and hypertension for which he was on stable doses of an antidepressant and a single antihypertensive agent.

**Investigation**

Venepuncture was performed during a hypoglycaemic episode, and results indicated hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia (glucose: 1.8 mmol/L, insulin: 460 U/L and C-peptide: 6.0 nmol/L). The patient used a continuous interstitial glucose monitor (Abbott FreeStyle Libre), which confirmed the near-daily occurrence of post-prandial hypoglycaemic episodes. There were no episodes of fasting hypoglycaemia.

Earlier investigations included an oral glucose tolerance test and liquid mixed-meal test which both failed to provoke hypoglycaemia over 48 h. A CT pancreas with contrast did not demonstrate a discrete mass. A gastric emptying study showed rapid gastric emptying with 30-min retention of 70% (normal >70%), 1-h retention of 25% (normal 30–90%) and 2-h retention of 2% (normal <60%). It was felt that these results were not diagnostic for dumping syndrome given these were unchanged from a study performed 1 year prior when there were no clinical symptoms of hypoglycaemia (1 h retention 23%, 2 h retention 6%).

Sulphonylureas were not detected in the serum. Circulating insulin antibodies were not found. A modified mixed-meal test which both failed to provoke hypoglycaemia over 48 h. A CT pancreas with contrast did not demonstrate a discrete mass. A gastric emptying study showed rapid gastric emptying with 30-min retention of 70% (normal >70%), 1-h retention of 25% (normal 30–90%) and 2-h retention of 2% (normal <60%). It was felt that these results were not diagnostic for dumping syndrome given these were unchanged from a study performed 1 year prior when there were no clinical symptoms of hypoglycaemia (1 h retention 23%, 2 h retention 6%).

Sulphonylureas were not detected in the serum. Circulating insulin antibodies were not found. A modified mixed-meal test which both failed to provoke hypoglycaemia over 48 h. A CT pancreas with contrast did not demonstrate a discrete mass. A gastric emptying study showed rapid gastric emptying with 30-min retention of 70% (normal >70%), 1-h retention of 25% (normal 30–90%) and 2-h retention of 2% (normal <60%). It was felt that these results were not diagnostic for dumping syndrome given these were unchanged from a study performed 1 year prior when there were no clinical symptoms of hypoglycaemia (1 h retention 23%, 2 h retention 6%).

**Treatment**

The patient underwent an uncomplicated laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy removing 75 mm of distal pancreas. Intraoperative linear laparoscopic ultrasound was used to localise the lesion. Unfortunately, four days post-operatively, the patient developed recurrent symptomatic post-prandial hypoglycaemia. Histology of the resected pancreas showed tattoo pigment and normal pancreatic tissue, but no tumour was identified.

A repeat 68Ga-Dotatate scan confirmed unresected insulinoma, 12 mm medial to the resection margin, and the patient underwent a second operation. For technical reasons, the laparoscopic procedure was converted to an open distal pancreatectomy. No lesion was identified macroscopically and intraoperative frozen section was inconclusive and unable to provide histological confirmation. A further 60 mm pancreatic specimen was excised.

Histopathological evaluation showed a 5 mm well-differentiated pancreatic endocrine tumour comprising cells with coarse nuclear chromatin and granular cytoplasm, in a typical nested and trabecular growth pattern. Ki67 immunoperoxidase staining showed a proliferative index of 5–10%, consistent with a grade 2 lesion by WHO classification (3). Immunohistochemistry was positive for the presence of chromogranin and synaptophysin, but paradoxically negative for the presence of insulin (Fig. 4). There were no features of nesidioblastosis.

**Outcome and follow-up**

Pleasingly, 6 months following successful resection of insulinoma, the patient has not experienced any considered included non-insulinoma pancreatogenous hypoglycaemia syndrome (NIPHS) and dumping syndrome.

The patient underwent a 68Ga Dotatate PET that demonstrated a small focus of intense Dotatate uptake in the body of the pancreas in keeping with an insulinoma (Fig. 1). An MRI scan was concordant, showing an ill-defined T2 hyperintense area of uptake 5 mm in diameter that did not enhance with contrast (Fig. 2). To assist with surgical planning, endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) was performed, which again confirmed a 6 mm hypoechoic lesion in the mid-body of the pancreas consistent with a small insulinoma. The lesion was tattooed with dye injection (Fig. 3).
significant post-prandial hypoglycaemia. Of note, the patient reports mild post-prandial symptomatic hypoglycaemia with a lowest BGL of 3.4 mmol/L. This may represent a degree of underlying dumping syndrome post upper gastrointestinal surgery, which supports our hypothesis of mixed pathology in this case.

**Discussion**

Insulinomas commonly present with hypoglycaemia characterised by Whipple’s triad – sympathoadrenal and neuroglycopaenic symptoms of hypoglycaemia, blood glucose levels less than 3.0 mmol/L and resolution of symptoms following restoration of euglycaemia. Biochemical investigation can be performed in a spontaneous episode or one provoked by either 72-h fasting (fasting hypoglycaemia) or mixed-meal test (post-prandial hypoglycaemia). Endogenous hyperinsulinaemia is confirmed by elevated insulin greater than or equal to 3.0 U/L (18 pmol/L), C-peptide greater than or equal to 0.6 ng/mL (0.2 mmol/L), proinsulin greater than or equal to 5 pmol/L, plasma β-hydroxybutyrate levels of 2.7 mmol/L or less and a plasma glucose concentration of greater than or equal to 25 mg/dL (1.4 mmol/L) following IV glucagon administration (4).

Fasting hypoglycaemia has long been regarded as a cardinal feature of insulinoma. However, there is growing recognition that either fasting or post-prandial
Hypoglycaemia can occur (1). In a Mayo clinic series of 237 patients with insulinomas between 1927 and 2007, 6% presented with post-prandial hypoglycaemia only. Of these patients, 3 had negative 72-h fasting studies (1). These reports demonstrate the importance of pursuing localisation studies in patients with hyperinsulaemic hypoglycaemia even in the absence of classical fasting hypoglycaemia.

The presentation of post-prandial hypoglycaemia following upper GI surgery may also relate to dumping syndrome, which occurs due to altered gastrointestinal anatomy resulting in large volumes of gastric content being delivered to the small bowel. In early dumping syndrome, symptoms occur about 45 min following a meal due to intravascular volume depletion due to osmotic fluid shift into the bowel lumen (5). A late form of dumping syndrome also occurs, presenting 2–4 h following a meal through an incretin-driven hyperinsulaemic response triggered by the rapid delivery of carbohydrate to the duodenum (5).

A combination of early and late dumping syndrome can occur following GI surgery that disrupts or bypasses the pyloric sphincter. In the case of Nissen fundoplication, damage to the vagus nerve is thought to cause altered gastric motility leading to functional impairment. This is an acknowledged complication of Nissen fundoplication in children, but is also increasingly recognised in the adult population (5, 6).

Non-insulinoma pancreatogenous hypoglycaemia syndrome (NIPHS) is another cause for hyperinsulaemic hypoglycaemia in patients with previous upper gastrointestinal surgery. This is a rare condition requiring the following for diagnosis: positive Whipple's triad following a mixed-meal test in combination with a negative 72-h fast, negative localisation studies for insulinoma, positive arterial calcium stimulation test and histologically proven nesidioblastosis of pancreatic tissue. Pancreatic β-cell dysfunction occurs through hyperplasia and an altered incretin response. Upper GI surgery is thought to trigger this through altered GI architecture or by unmasking an underlying condition via weight loss and reduced insulin resistance (7). NIPHS is recognised post bariatric surgery, and a case has been reported following Nissen fundoplication (7). It is possible to speculate that revision of a gastric fundoplication could potentiate hypoglycaemia of an underlying insulinoma through an additional insult of NIPHS or dumping syndrome, but we cannot definitively diagnose or exclude this in our patient.
Localisation studies have significantly improved in recent years. Traditional non-invasive imaging options include CT and MRI. On CT with contrast, an insulinoma is highly vascular with early-phase arterial contrast enhancement and venous washout. The sensitivity and specificity for CT diagnosis are 63–82% and 83–100% respectively (8). MRI findings typical of an insulinoma include low signal density on T1-weighted imaging, high signal density on T2-weighted imaging and early arterial phase contrast enhancement. The sensitivity and specificity of MRI diagnosis are 85–100% and 75–100%, respectively (8).

Endoscopic ultrasound has further improved insulinoma detection and can localise lesions 2–3 mm in size (8). The sensitivity is dependent on tumour location, and detection in the pancreatic head and body is higher (80–100%) than that in the pancreatic tail (37–60%) (9).

Selective calcium arterial stimulation (SCAS) is an invasive modality involving the injection of calcium into the arteries supplying the pancreas. The stimulated insulin release is measured in the hepatic vein effluent and the distribution of response across the pancreas is assessed. While a focal response is suggestive of an insulinoma and a diffuse response supports nesidioblastosis, there are no established diagnostic criteria due to significant overlap between these pathologies (4). The role of SCAS in the diagnosis of insulinoma has diminished due to advances in nuclear medicine imaging.

Nuclear medicine imaging relies on radiolabelled detection of tumour receptor expression (somatostatin receptors, GLP1 receptors) or tumour metabolism (FDG, FDOPA) (10). Somatostatin receptors (SSR) have been used in octreotide scintigraphy and more recently in 68Ga Dotatate PET/CT. However, while 68Ga Dotatate PET/CT has improved sensitivity and specificity compared to octreotide scintigraphy, the use of SSR imaging is limited by its under-expression by tumour cells. SSTR type II is only expressed in 69% of insulinomas, and high-grade (G3) tumours are more likely to have low receptor density (10).

More recently, radiolabelled GLP1 analogues have been used as GLP1 receptors greater expression than SSTR in insulinomas (10). A case series of 40 patients with proven hypoglycaemia and inconclusive localisation studies (CT, MRI, EUS, somatostatin scintigraphy) demonstrated diagnostic benefit with the use of 99mTc GLP1 scintigraphy – 18 of 28 patients with positive 99mTc GLP1 scintigraphy had subsequent post-surgical histopathological confirmation of insulinoma (11).

Classical histopathological features of insulinomas include solid nest or trabecular cellular arrangement and cytological features of eosinophilic, granular cytoplasm and dispersed nuclei chromatin (12). Diagnostic immunohistochemical (IHC) findings include positive synaptophysin and chromogranin staining (12, 13). Functionality of neuroendocrine tumours is determined by the clinical presentation and does not reliably correlate with IHC staining for specific peptides, including insulin (12). In the described case, the diagnosis of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumour is confirmed by synaptophysin and chromogranin stain positivity, and while it is interesting that the insulin staining was negative, this unusual finding does not contraindicate the diagnosis. It is a previously described finding (14), perhaps due to rapid secretion and reduced cytoplasmic insulin storage.

Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms (GEP-NENs) are classified according to the revised WHO classifications (2010) as either well-differentiated (neuroendocrine tumour, NET) or poorly differentiated (neuroendocrine carcinomas, NEC) according to the Ki-67 proliferation index. NETs are further classified as G1 (Ki-67 ≤2%) or G2 (Ki-67 3–20%), and NECs are classified as G3 (Ki-67 >20%) (3, 13). GEP-NENs can then be further staged according to TNM criteria based on tumour size, lymph node invasion and distant metastases (13).

Surgical resection remains the mainstay of treatment for insulinoma and should be considered even in metastatic disease (13). Laparoscopic surgical resection is associated with shorter hospitalisation compared to traditional open surgical approaches; however, a disadvantage is the inability to perform manual palpation for intraoperative localisation. Laparoscopic ultrasonography can be useful and has been shown to have a sensitivity of around 90% (15). As this case demonstrates, however, the resection of small insulinomas can be challenging despite the use of pre-operative and intraoperative localisation techniques.

Conclusion

This case demonstrates an uncommon presentation of insulinoma. Several features are non-classical including the absence of fasting hypoglycaemia and negative insulin staining on histopathology; however, these do not preclude the diagnosis of insulinoma as described in the literature (2, 14). Indeed, insulinoma remains an important diagnosis to exclude in isolated post-prandial hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia and further localisation studies including functional nuclear imaging should be considered.
In the context of previous gastrointestinal surgery, other factors may also be contributing to the presentation of hypoglycaemia. For example, a form of dumping syndrome following gastric fundoplication unmasking hypoglycaemia from an underlying insulinoma cannot be excluded.

Finally, despite significant recent advances in the imaging and surgical treatment of insulinomas, successful management and cure remains challenging.
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